IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO: 22929 of 2004

Between:

S.Riyaz Basha S/o.S.G.Saheb
Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. A.P.S.RT.C. Rep., by its Vice Chairman

and Managing Director,

Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

2 The Regional Manager,

A.P.S.R.T.C Tirupathi,

Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or directions more in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein to consider the representation dated:19.12.2003 of the petitioner for appointment as driver on par with his Juniors Sri.Allabakshu Driver, E.No.552380 Chittoor-I Depot and others and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.GOVINDA RAJULU
Counsel for the Respondents: MR.Y.V.SWAMY

The Court at the admission stage, made the following:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO:22929 of 2004

ORDER:

The petitioner was sponsored by the Employment Exchange, Chittoor, for the post of Driver under respondent-Corporation. After verification of the records and driving licence, the petitioner was directed to undergo Foundation Training Course at Zonal Staff Training College, Nellore, after depositing Rs.500/- as security. After undergoing training for 2 ½ years successfully, he was allotted a Staff No.E1/684(8)/97, as a Driver. Subsequently, proceedings dated 24-12-2001, have been issued by the respondent-Corporation stating that the Corporation is running in losses, therefore, it is unable to provide employment to the petitioner.

2. The main grievance of the petitioner is that though the juniors who underwent training, along with him, were given employment as drivers, his case has not been considered. For considering his case, the petitioner made a representation dated 19-12-2003, to the respondent-Corporation to pass appropriate orders.

3. The question, as to whether the juniors of the petitioner have been given employment, as alleged by the petitioner, by overlooking his case, has to be decided by the respondent-Corporation.

4. In the light of the above facts and circumstances of the case, I consider it appropriate to give a direction to the respondent-Corporation.

5. Therefore, the respondent-Corporation is directed to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 19-12-2003 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

December 10, 2004

KVR

TO

1 The Vice Chairman and Managing Director, A.P.S.RT.C.

Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Regional Manager A.P.S.R.T.C Tirupathi, Chittoor District.

3 Two C.D. Copies.